## 48A C.J.S. Judges § 249

Corpus Juris Secundum | August 2023 Update

## Judges

Joseph Bassano, J.D.; Khara Singer-Mack, J.D.; Thomas Muskus, J.D; Karl Oakes, J.D. and Jeffrey J. Shampo, J.D.

- IX. Disqualification to Act
- C. Grounds for Disqualification
- 1. In General
- b. Bias or Prejudice
- (1) In General

§ 249. Rule of necessity

Topic Summary | References | Correlation Table

## West's Key Number Digest

West's Key Number Digest, Judges 49(1)

Under the rule of necessity, a court will not decline to determine a matter on the ground of bias or prejudice where no other tribunal has power to act in the case.

Under the rule of necessity, a court will not decline to determine a matter on the ground of bias or prejudice where no other tribunal has power to act in the case, <sup>1</sup> but in such event, the necessity must be so great and overwhelming that an entire failure of justice may result if the court should disqualify itself.<sup>2</sup> The rule does not apply where other judges are available and are readily and conveniently subject to call.<sup>3</sup>

The federal statutes authorizing the disqualification of a judge for bias or prejudice were not intended by Congress to alter the rule of necessity.<sup>4</sup>

Westlaw. © 2023 Thomson Reuters. No Claim to Orig. U.S. Govt. Works.

## Footnotes

U.S.—U. S. v. Will, 449 U.S. 200, 101 S. Ct. 471, 66 L. Ed. 2d 392 (1980).

	Necessity as precluding disqualification of judges, see § 235.
2	Ala.—State v. Aldridge, 212 Ala. 660, 103 So. 835, 39 A.L.R. 1470 (1925).
3	Minn.—State v. Ketterer, 244 Minn. 127, 69 N.W.2d 115 (1955).
4	U.S.—U. S. v. Will, 449 U.S. 200, 101 S. Ct. 471, 66 L. Ed. 2d 392 (1980).
	As to disqualification of judge for bias or prejudice under federal statutes, generally, see § 251.

**End of Document** 

© 2023 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works